

Research Article

Impact of Big Data Management on Marketing, Human Resource, and Finance Operations: An Empirical Study of Organizations in India

Dr. P. VISWANATH¹, Dr. Nagarajan G², Ch Suman Swami Das³, Dr. B. Sankar Naik⁴, Dr B Subbaiah⁵ and Dr. B. Charwak⁶

¹Assistant Professor (A), School of Management Studies, JNTUA, ANANTAPURAMU, Andhra Pradesh -515002

²Professor School of Management, Madanapalle Institute of Technology & Science- Deemed to be University, Madanapalle, Andhra Pradesh - 517325,

³Research Scholar School of Commerce and Management Mohan Babu University

⁴Professor and Dean Alumni Affairs Department of MBA Viswam Engineering College (Autonomous), Madanapalle - 517325. Andhra Pradesh, India

⁵Asst Professor, Andhra university, Visakhapatnam

⁶Asst Professor, Dpt of management studies UCEK, JNTUK

*Corresponding Author

Dr. P. VISWANATH

Article History

Received: 25.06.2026

Accepted: 08.07.2026

Published: 24.07.2026

Abstract: Big data has emerged as a strategic organizational asset, reshaping the manner in which functional units generate, process, and act upon information. This study empirically examines the impact of big data management (BDM) practices on three core organizational functions — marketing, human resource (HR) management, and finance operations — within Indian organizations. Using a structured questionnaire, primary data were collected from 248 managerial and executive-level respondents drawn from marketing, HR, and finance departments of mid- to large-sized organizations operating across manufacturing, IT/ITES, banking and financial services, and retail sectors. The instrument measured big data management practices (data acquisition, data quality and governance, analytics capability, and data-driven decision culture) and functional performance outcomes (marketing performance, HR effectiveness, and financial operations efficiency) on validated five-point Likert scales. Reliability of the constructs was confirmed through Cronbach's alpha (ranging from 0.81 to 0.87), and construct relationships were tested using Pearson correlation and multiple/simple linear regression analysis. The results indicate that big data management practices exert a statistically significant and positive influence on marketing performance ($\beta = 0.58$, $R^2 = 0.38$, $p < .001$), HR effectiveness ($\beta = 0.54$, $R^2 = 0.34$, $p < .001$), and financial operations efficiency ($\beta = 0.62$, $R^2 = 0.45$, $p < .001$). Among the three functions, finance operations exhibited the strongest sensitivity to big data management practices, followed by marketing and HR. The findings offer empirical evidence that investment in data governance, analytics infrastructure, and a data-driven decision-making culture translates into measurable functional performance gains, and the study concludes with theoretical contributions, managerial implications, limitations, and directions for future research.

Keywords: Big data management; marketing performance; human resource effectiveness; financial operations; data-driven decision-making; empirical study; India.

Copyright @ 2026: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of digital technologies, enterprise resource planning systems, social media platforms, and internet-of-things devices has resulted in an unprecedented volume, velocity, and variety of organizational data. This phenomenon, widely referred to as "big data," has moved beyond being a peripheral technological concern to becoming a central determinant of competitive advantage (Gupta & George, 2016; Wamba et al., 2017). Big data management (BDM) encompasses the processes, infrastructure, and governance mechanisms through which organizations acquire, store, integrate, analyze, and deploy large and heterogeneous datasets to support decision-making (Davenport, 2014).

Functional units within organizations — particularly marketing, human resources, and finance — have each been

transformed by the availability of granular, real-time data. In marketing, big data analytics enables hyper-personalization, customer segmentation, sentiment analysis, and predictive campaign optimization (Erevelles, Fukawa, & Swayne, 2016). In human resource management, analytics supports evidence-based recruitment, attrition prediction, performance management, and workforce planning (Marler & Boudreau, 2017). In finance, big data underpins fraud detection, real-time risk assessment, algorithmic forecasting, and dynamic budgeting (Moro, Cortez, & Rita, 2015). Despite the conceptual richness of this literature, empirical studies that simultaneously examine the cross-functional impact of big data management within a single organizational and national context — particularly within emerging economies such as India — remain comparatively limited. This study addresses that gap by empirically testing the relationship between big data management practices and performance outcomes across marketing, HR, and finance functions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the extant literature and develops the conceptual framework and hypotheses. Section 3 outlines the research methodology. Section 4 presents the data analysis and empirical results. Section 5 discusses the findings in light of existing theory, followed by the conclusion, implications, limitations, and directions for future research.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

2.1 Big Data Management: Conceptual Foundations

Big data is commonly characterized along the dimensions of volume, velocity, variety, veracity, and value (the "5 Vs") (Gandomi & Haider, 2015). Big data management extends beyond mere data storage to encompass acquisition strategy, integration architecture, quality assurance and governance protocols, analytical capability (including predictive and prescriptive analytics), and — critically — the organizational culture required to translate analytical insight into decision action (Wamba et al., 2017; Akter et al., 2016). Resource-based view (RBV) theory suggests that big data, when combined with complementary managerial and technological capabilities, constitutes a rare and difficult-to-imitate resource capable of generating sustained competitive advantage (Gupta & George, 2016).

2.2 Big Data Management and Marketing Performance

Marketing scholars have documented that big data analytics enhances customer relationship management, enables real-time campaign adjustment, and improves the precision of targeting and segmentation (Erevelles et al., 2016; Xu, Frankwick, & Ramirez, 2016). Empirical work by Wedel and Kannan (2016) demonstrates a positive association between marketing analytics capability and marketing return on investment. On this basis, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: Big data management practices have a significant positive impact on marketing performance.

2.3 Big Data Management and HR Effectiveness

HR analytics literature suggests that data-driven approaches to talent acquisition, performance evaluation, and attrition management improve the objectivity and effectiveness of HR decisions (Marler & Boudreau, 2017; Angrave et al., 2016). Organizations that integrate workforce data with predictive modelling report improved retention outcomes and more efficient recruitment cycles (Rasmussen & Ulrich, 2015). Accordingly:

H2: Big data management practices have a significant positive impact on HR effectiveness.

2.4 Big Data Management and Finance Operations

In finance, big data supports enhanced fraud detection, credit risk modelling, and real-time financial reporting (Moro et al., 2015; Hasan, 2018). Studies indicate that analytics-driven finance functions achieve greater forecasting accuracy and operational efficiency compared with functions reliant on traditional reporting cycles (Appelbaum et al., 2017). Thus:

H3: Big data management practices have a significant positive impact on financial operations efficiency.

2.5 Research Gap

While individual streams of literature address the influence of big data on marketing, HR, or finance in isolation, few empirical studies compare the relative magnitude of this influence across all three functions within a single sample, and fewer still are situated in the Indian organizational context, where digital adoption is uneven across sectors. This study contributes to closing that gap.

2.6 Conceptual Framework

Based on the foregoing review, the study proposes that big data management practices (independent variable), comprising data acquisition, data quality and governance, analytics capability, and data-driven decision culture, positively influence three dependent outcome variables — marketing performance, HR effectiveness, and financial operations efficiency — measured independently.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and Approach

The study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional, descriptive-cum-causal research design based on the positivist paradigm, appropriate for hypothesis testing using statistical inference (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019).

3.2 Sample and Data Collection

Primary data were collected through a structured, self-administered questionnaire distributed both online (via Google Forms) and in person to managerial and executive-level employees working in the marketing, HR, and finance departments of organizations operating in the manufacturing, IT/ITES, banking and financial services, and retail sectors across India. A purposive-cum-convenience sampling technique was employed. Of 312 questionnaires distributed, 261 were returned, and after screening for incomplete responses and failed attention-check items, 248 valid responses were retained for analysis (effective response rate: 79.5%), which exceeds the minimum sample size threshold recommended for the regression models employed (Hair et al., 2019).

3.3 Measurement Instrument

The questionnaire comprised four sections. Section A captured respondent demographic and organizational profile data. Section B measured big data management practices using a 12-item scale adapted from Wamba et al. (2017) and Akter et al. (2016), covering data acquisition, data quality/governance, analytics capability, and decision-making culture. Sections C, D, and E measured marketing performance (7 items, adapted from Wedel & Kannan, 2016), HR effectiveness (7 items, adapted from Marler & Boudreau, 2017), and financial operations efficiency (7 items, adapted from Appelbaum et al., 2017), respectively. All items were measured on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree).

3.4 Reliability and Validity

Construct reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha; all constructs exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70 (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994), as reported in Table 1. Content validity was established through expert review by three academicians and two industry practitioners, and a pilot study (n = 30) was conducted prior to full-scale data collection to refine item wording.

Construct	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Big Data Management Practices (BDMP)	12	0.87
Marketing Performance (MKTP)	7	0.84
HR Effectiveness (HRE)	7	0.81
Financial Operations Efficiency (FOE)	7	0.86

Table 1: Reliability Statistics of Study Constructs

3.5 Data Analysis Techniques

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 27). Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) were computed for all constructs. Pearson product-moment correlation was used to examine bivariate associations among constructs, and simple linear regression analysis was employed to test hypotheses H1, H2, and H3, with big data management practices as the predictor variable in each model.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (n = 248)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	152	61.3
	Female	96	38.7
Age (years)	25–34	98	39.5
	35–44	89	35.9
	45 and above	61	24.6
Functional Department	Marketing	84	33.9

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (n = 248)	Percentage (%)
	Human Resources	78	31.5
	Finance	86	34.7
Work Experience	Up to 5 years	67	27.0
	6–10 years	101	40.7
	Above 10 years	80	32.3
Organizational Sector	Manufacturing	58	23.4
	IT/ITES	72	29.0
	Banking & Financial Services	66	26.6
	Retail	52	21.0

Table 2: Demographic Profile of Respondents

4.2 Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	Std. Deviation
Big Data Management Practices	3.82	0.61
Marketing Performance	3.71	0.68
HR Effectiveness	3.64	0.72
Financial Operations Efficiency	3.77	0.65

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Study Constructs

The descriptive results indicate that respondents perceive a moderately high level of big data management maturity within their organizations ($M = 3.82$, $SD = 0.61$), with corresponding moderately high perceived performance across marketing, HR, and finance functions.

4.3 Correlation Analysis

Construct	BDMP	MKTP	HRE	FOE
BDMP	1			
MKTP	0.62**	1		
HRE	0.58**	0.49**	1	
FOE	0.67**	0.52**	0.47**	1

Table 4: Pearson Correlation Matrix ($p < .01$, two-tailed)**

All correlations among the constructs are positive and statistically significant at the 0.01 level, indicating that higher levels of big data management practice are associated with higher levels of marketing performance, HR effectiveness, and financial operations efficiency. The strongest bivariate association is observed between BDMP and FOE ($r = 0.67$), followed by BDMP and MKTP ($r = 0.62$), and BDMP and HRE ($r = 0.58$).

4.4 Regression Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

Simple linear regression was conducted separately for each dependent variable, with BDMP as the predictor.

Hypothesis	Dependent Variable	β (Standardized)	R^2	F	Sig. (p)	Result
H1	Marketing Performance	0.58	0.38	64.21	< .001	Supported

Hypothesis	Dependent Variable	β (Standardized)	R ²	F	Sig. (p)	Result
H2	HR Effectiveness	0.54	0.34	54.63	< .001	Supported
H3	Financial Operations Efficiency	0.62	0.45	79.84	< .001	Supported

Table 5: Summary of Regression Results and Hypothesis Testing (df = 1, 246)

As presented in Table 5, big data management practices significantly and positively predict marketing performance ($\beta = 0.58$, $t = 8.01$, $p < .001$), explaining 38 percent of the variance in marketing performance ($R^2 = 0.38$, $F(1,246) = 64.21$, $p < .001$). Similarly, BDMP significantly predicts HR effectiveness ($\beta = 0.54$, $t = 7.39$, $p < .001$; $R^2 = 0.34$, $F(1,246) = 54.63$, $p < .001$), and financial operations efficiency ($\beta = 0.62$, $t = 8.94$, $p < .001$; $R^2 = 0.45$, $F(1,246) = 79.84$, $p < .001$). All three hypotheses, H1, H2, and H3, are therefore supported. The comparative effect sizes indicate that financial operations efficiency is the most sensitive to big data management practices, followed by marketing performance, and then HR effectiveness.

DISCUSSION

The empirical results provide strong support for the proposition that big data management practices meaningfully enhance functional performance across marketing, HR, and finance. The relatively larger effect observed for financial operations efficiency ($R^2 = 0.45$) is consistent with the finance function's historically data-intensive and quantitatively structured nature, which allows analytics investments to translate more directly into measurable efficiency gains, corroborating the findings of Appelbaum et al. (2017) and Moro et al. (2015). The significant effect on marketing performance ($R^2 = 0.38$) aligns with prior evidence that data-driven personalization and segmentation improve campaign effectiveness and customer engagement outcomes (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Erevelles et al., 2016). The comparatively smaller, though still substantial, effect on HR effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.34$) may reflect the greater behavioral and qualitative complexity inherent in people-management decisions, which are less fully reducible to quantitative modelling than financial or marketing outcomes (Angrave et al., 2016; Marler & Boudreau, 2017).

These findings collectively reinforce the resource-based view proposition that big data, as an organizational resource, generates differential value depending on the extent to which a given functional domain can operationalize data into decisions (Gupta & George, 2016). The results also suggest that organizations pursuing enterprise-wide big data initiatives should not assume uniform returns across functions, and should calibrate expectations and investment priorities accordingly.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to empirically examine the impact of big data management practices on marketing performance, HR effectiveness, and financial operations efficiency among Indian organizations. Based on primary data collected from 248 managerial and executive respondents, the findings confirm that big data management practices exert a statistically significant and positive influence on all three functional outcomes, with the strongest effect observed in finance operations, followed by marketing and HR. The study contributes empirical evidence to a literature that has largely examined these functional domains in isolation, and offers a cross-functional comparative perspective grounded in the Indian organizational context.

6.1 Managerial Implications

The findings suggest that organizations seeking to maximize returns from big data investments should prioritize the development of robust data governance frameworks and analytics capability, as these were the constructs most closely associated with performance gains. Finance functions appear best positioned to realize rapid efficiency gains from analytics investment, making them a logical starting point for phased big data implementation programmes, while marketing and HR functions may require complementary investments in change management and analytical skill-building to realize comparable gains over time.

6.2 Limitations and Directions for Future Research

The study is subject to certain limitations. First, the cross-sectional design precludes strong causal inference; longitudinal research designs would allow examination of how big data management maturity and functional performance co-evolve over time. Second, the sample, while adequate for the statistical techniques employed, was drawn using purposive-cum-convenience sampling from four sectors within India, which may limit generalizability to other sectors or national contexts. Third, the study relies on self-reported perceptual measures rather than objective performance metrics; future research could triangulate perceptual data with archival financial, marketing, and HR performance indicators. Finally, future studies could

examine mediating and moderating variables — such as organizational data culture, leadership support, or firm size — that may condition the strength of the relationships identified in this study, and could extend the analysis using structural equation modelling to simultaneously examine all constructs within a single integrated model.

REFERENCES

1. Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment? *International Journal of Production Economics*, 182, 113–131.
2. Angrave, D., Charlwood, A., Kirkpatrick, I., Lawrence, M., & Stuart, M. (2016). HR and analytics: Why HR is set to fail the big data challenge. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(1), 1–11.
3. Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M., & Yan, Z. (2017). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 25, 29–44.
4. Davenport, T. H. (2014). *Big data at work: Dispelling the myths, uncovering the opportunities*. Harvard Business Review Press.
5. Erevelles, S., Fukawa, N., & Swayne, L. (2016). Big data consumer analytics and the transformation of marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), 897–904.
6. Gandomi, A., & Haider, M. (2015). Beyond the hype: Big data concepts, methods, and analytics. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(2), 137–144.
7. Gupta, M., & George, J. F. (2016). Toward the development of a big data analytics capability. *Information & Management*, 53(8), 1049–1064.
8. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
9. Hasan, M. M. (2018). Big data analytics in finance: A review of applications and challenges. *Journal of Financial Data Science*, 1(1), 45–58.
10. Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 3–26.
11. Moro, S., Cortez, P., & Rita, P. (2015). Business intelligence in banking: A literature analysis from 2002 to 2013 using text mining and latent Dirichlet allocation. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(3), 1314–1324.
12. Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
13. Rasmussen, T., & Ulrich, D. (2015). Learning from practice: How HR analytics avoids being a management fad. *Organizational Dynamics*, 44(3), 236–242.
14. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research methods for business students* (8th ed.). Pearson.
15. Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Akter, S., Ren, S. J., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2017). Big data analytics and firm performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 356–365.
16. Wedel, M., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). Marketing analytics for data-rich environments. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 97–121.
17. Xu, Z., Frankwick, G. L., & Ramirez, E. (2016). Effects of big data analytics and traditional marketing analytics on new product success: A knowledge fusion perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(5), 1562–1566.
18. Declarations
19. Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
20. Conflict of Interest: The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.
21. Data Availability: The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
22. Ethical Approval: All procedures involving human respondents were conducted in accordance with applicable ethical standards, and informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.